

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/942,252	08/28/2001	Walter Messier	Geno200.2CIP	6811	
25871	7590 03/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C.			HORLICK, KENNETH R		
1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE SUITE 330			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HIGHLAND	S RANCH, CO 80129		1637		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{U}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	09/942,252	MESSIER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth R Horlick	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	is action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureath See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Pority documents have been Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· ·	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/942,252

Art Unit: 1637

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-4 and 21-26, drawn to methods comprising comparing a human polynucleotide sequence associated with brain development (e.g. AATYK) with the corresponding sequence from a non-human primate, and determining if any nucleotide changes are evolutionarily significant; classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Page 2

- II. Claims 5 and 6, drawn to methods of identifying an agent comprising the use of a peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to methods of identifying an agent comprising the use of a polynucleotide, classified in class 436, subclass 94.
- IV. Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a method comprising analyzing the effect of an AATYK polynucleotide in a model system, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- V. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a method comprising analyzing the effect of an AATYK polypeptide in a model system, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- VI. Claims 13 and 17-20, drawn to polynucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- VII. Claims 14-16, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 300.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,252

Art Unit: 1637

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

- A) Inventions (I-V) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are methods with different steps and parameters, and thus have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects. Group I requires comparing polynucleotide sequences; Group II requires use of a polypeptide and an agent; Group III requires use of a polynucleotide and an agent; Group IV requires analysis of a polynucleotide in a model system; and Group V requires analysis of a polypeptide in a model system.
- B) Inventions VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects. Group VI is drawn to polynucleotides whereas Group VII is drawn to polypeptides; these have completely different chemical structures, biological properties, and utilities.

Art Unit: 1637

C) Inventions (VI, VII) and (I-V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides and polypeptides of (VI, VII) can be used in materially different processes of using those products, such as the various methods of (I-V) (as applicable to either polynucleotides or polypeptides).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1637

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 571-272-0784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth R Horlick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

03/03/05